Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)
	10/0	51,383	SANDAL ET AL.
	Exa	miner	Art Unit
	Geo	rgia L. Helmer	1638
All Participants:	Sta	atus of Application: <u>Nor</u>	nFinal
(1) <u>Georgia L. Helmer</u> .	(
(2) <u>Richard Peet</u> .	. ((4)	
Date of Interview: 29 November 2005	Tir	ne:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	epresentative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 112.2 of Claim 26, line 7, wherein "gold" lacks anteceder	nt basis.		
Claims discussed: 26			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Examiner pointed out 112.2 rejection of Claim 26, line 7, changed tometal			_
Part III.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the applicati of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the contract of the	on. The exar parate record	niner will provide a written of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
·			
(Everyings/CDE Cinneture)	nlioant/A==#	nanta Pontonontativa Ci	anatura if annonciata
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	piicanvAppii	cant's Representative Sig	gnature – ii appropriate)